

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,605	08/22/2003	Domenick Vitulli	N81575/LPK	9806	
1333 EASTMAN K	7590 05/11/201 ODAK COMPANY	EXAMINER			
PATENT LEG	AL STAFF	HAYLES, ASHFORD S			
343 STATE ST ROCHESTER	FREET . NY 14650-2201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3687		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/11/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/646,605	VITULLI ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ASHFORD HAYLES	3687				

	ASHFORD HAYLES	3687							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time									
periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	FIRST REPLY WAS FI	ED WITHIN TWO						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL		Fig. 1. March 18							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);							
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better</li> </ul>		lucina or simplifyina t	ne iceuse for						
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of						
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a						
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant's remarks and amendments are not sufficient of Office Action. Further search is required for amended cl	enough to overcome the prior art re								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (									
13. Other:									
<del>-</del> —									
	/Elaine Gort/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3687							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)